Rocky Flats Plant Industrial Area Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action Project Status Meeting December 20, 1993

Meeting Objectives:

The objective of this meeting was to brief the EPA and CDH representatives, EG&G CTR, and EG&G supporting technical staff on the current status and progress of the IM/IRA project.

Participants:

The meeting was held in the west conference room of the EG&G Interlocken building. The following individuals attended:

Name	Company	Phone Number
Mark Buddy	EG&G	966-8519
Art Hirsch	Jacobs	595-8855
Farrel Hobbs	Jacobs	595-8855
Greg Weatherbee	EG&G/SWD	966-3687
Ian Paron	EG&G/SWD	966-8783
Theresa Jehn-Dellaport	Jacobs	595-8855
Bill Fraser	EPA	294-1081
Susan Wyman	Jacobs	595-8855
Frank J. Blaha	Wright Water Eng.	480-1700
Wayne Belcher	EG&G/Geosci.	966-6931
Bruce Jones	Jacobs	595-8855
Tim Lovseth	EG&G	966-8706
Warner Reeser	Jacobs	595-8855
Joyce Miyagishima	Jacobs	595-8855
Don Beaver	Jacobs	595-8855
Michael Johnson	Jacobs	595-8855
Kristin Kerrigan	Jacobs	595-8855
Kitty Woldow	EPM/SWD	966-2299
Mary Lee Hogg	ICF/Kaiser	980-2016
Dave Norbury	CDH	692-3415
Bob Nininger	EG&G/EPM-AQD	966-3941

Summary of Discussions:

Mark Buddy opened the meeting at 2:05 pm. The meeting agenda is attached.

Art Hirsch reiterated the following IM/IRA objectives and scope of work (attached):

- Provide a safety net around the Industrial Area to monitor for, protect against and respond to releases of contaminants which may occur now and during D&D.
- Assess the ability of the current Industrial Area monitoring program to detect potential releases at or within the boundaries of the Industrial Area.
- Recommend pathway protection procedures and conceptualize a verification monitoring program to detect potential releases at or within the boundaries of the Industrial Area.

Technical Status/Progress Reports:

Engineering

Bruce Jones displayed maps that Jacobs has prepared of the building footing and foundation drains. He mentioned that it is necessary to know the volume and chemical character of incidental water to determine which treatment systems are appropriate.

Two site walks were conducted to determine the locations of drain, flow paths, and sampling stations.

Surface Water

Frank Blaha reported that the status of the surface water evaluation is similar to that of the November 23 meeting. Recommendations are to routinely sample at the six main basins and to initiate subbasin monitoring during D&D activities.

Two additional issues have been identified since the last performance status meeting: (1) the need to quantify base flow and storm water flow at monitoring stations, and (2) the need to consider the sewage treatment plant (STP) as a potential contaminant flow path.

Influent monitoring and toxicity testing at the STP are adequately addressed. The capability exists for one-day storage of water for chemical assessment before treatment. The STP must still be treated as a potential contaminant pathway. Changes are being made to the STP influent standards. As a result, the required monitoring program will likely change, and additional outfall sampling points may be identified.

The IM/IRA report will identify which subbasin and main basin each footing drain or outfall occupies. The flowpath drawings will be similar to those in the Master Drainage Plan. Schematic drawings will show the footing drain/surface water flowpaths at a glance.

Bill Fraser (EPA) stated that three programs must be compatible: the ponds IM/IRA, the new NPDES permit, and the Industrial Area IM/IRA. Mr. Blaha recognized the

similarities between the ponds IM/IRA and the Industrial Area IM/IRA. It was agreed that the documents should "match up" and not be in conflict.

Hydrogeology

Theresa Jehn-Dellaport reviewed the approach of the hydrogeology team (attached).

- Source chemicals have been identified, including documented under-building contamination. EG&G is attempting to provide the results from recent sampling of monitoring wells in the Industrial Area, for incorporation into the IM/IRA.
- Flow paths are being evaluated based on high and low water table conditions, cultural features (building foundations and drains), bedrock elevations, sandstone paleochannels, and surface springs and seeps.
- Data gaps are being determined by a spatially comparing potential sources and flow paths to existing monitoring wells.
- Recommendations are being developed.

The end products will include recommendations for the (1) location of proposed monitoring wells, (2) screened interval of proposed monitoring wells, (3) analyte list, (4) sampling frequency, and (5) use of existing monitoring wells. Maps will be produced showing (1) groundwater flow (at high and low water table) and (2) existing and proposed new monitoring well locations. Maps of contaminant plumes will be developed, based on recent Industrial Area monitoring well sampling results, if that information is received in time.

The locations of recommended wells will be field-checked by site walks. Greg Weatherby suggested contacting Ralph Lindberg, at SMS, regarding contaminant plume maps.

Air Pathway

Warner Reeser reported that the air team was initially challenged by the large amount of air quality data available. With Bob Crocker's help, the air team has been able to assimilate most of the information. An overhead of recent activities (attached) was presented. These activities include the following:

- continued review of RFP air monitoring and meteorology programs;
- summarized existing programs;
- summarized RFP dispersion model applications to date;
- drafted pathways analysis;
- initiated evaluation of programs and data gap identification; and
- Began developing recommendations.

Potential data gaps have been identified, and initial recommendations have been made. No VOC monitoring for air exists within the Industrial Area, although CDH does limited monitoring for VOCs in air. No data gaps have been identified in the existing meteorological monitoring, although additional needs may exist during D&D. Mark Buddy asked what would be the benefits of VOC monitoring. Warner Reeser replied that VOC emissions could occur during D&D; for example, off-gassing could occur from soils during building demolition. VOCs were discounted in the past because no regulatory requirements for VOC monitoring existed. This situation may change with the new Clean Air Act and subsequent new state regulations.

Mark Buddy pointed out that the RFP air program is being reevaluated and asked whether the IM/IRA conclusions will be consistent with the new evaluation.

COPC Identification

Joyce Miyagishima presented a chart (attached) showing the organization of chemicals of potential concern (COPCs) and chemicals of interest (COIs). The COPCs have been identified from past releases and the target compound list (TCL) obtained from Rick Roberts. The COIs could be released by unplanned events (e.g. spills) and have been identified from chemical product inventories and chemical waste streams. The lists of COPCs and COIs are very large. A risk analysis to pare down the contaminant list will not be performed.

Conceptual Site Model

Kristin Kerrigan provided handouts (attached) listing the resources available for the development of a conceptual site model (CSM). Several examples of CSMs were presented, and the components of a CSM were listed. The IM/IRA will look at contaminant sources, release mechanisms, and transport media, but will not address exposure routes and receptors. The approach will involve combining OU-specific CSMs into a general CSM for the Industrial Area.

Three scenarios will be developed: current conditions, potential unplanned events, and future nonroutine activities, including D&D. For the purpose of this IM/IRA, unplanned events will include leaks, spills, or overflows. Catastrophic events such as fires, explosions, earthquakes, tornadoes, floods, etc. will not be evaluated as unplanned events. The nonroutine activities scenario will evaluate expected releases during remediation (excavation) and unplanned releases (leaks, spills, or overflows).

Mark Buddy stated that nonroutine activities will be discussed generally in the text. Triggers, actions, references to existing emergency response (ER), and possibly ER recommendations for D&D will be included. Work control packages should be addressed for D&D activities. The Operational Review Committee (ORC) oversees all work being done and determines whether the work falls within an acceptable safety envelope.

Ian Paton said that the EG&G Surface Water Division is putting together flow charts for ER. These may be useful to the IM/IRA.

Baseline Determination/Action Outline

Farrel Hobbs described the objectives and approach for determining baseline and action levels (attached). The IM/IRA objective is to monitor, detect, and respond to releases from D&D activities. Monitoring will be done to provide verification of contaminants and to detect acute and chronic releases. Corrective action will be taken when levels exceed an established baseline.

The general approach for the IM/IRA during D&D activities is to (1) determine activity-specific contaminants of concern (COCs), (2) evaluate risks for COCs, (3) identify potential release pathways, (4) assess real-time detection technologies for acute releases, (5) establish a sampling plan to detect chronic releases, (6) place stations and operate to establish a baseline, (7) establish action levels and link to emergency response, and (8) perform ongoing monitoring. Baseline contaminant levels must be established to determine elevated concentrations that warrant ER. Action levels will likely be activity-, location-, and contaminant-specific.

Schedule and Outline Reports

Art Hirsch distributed a Document Outline (attached) to CDH, EPA, and the EG&G technical support team. Mark Buddy distributed a preliminary drafts of Section 2.0 through 2.3, 4.1, 5.1, 6.1, and 8.1 through 8.3. The second preliminary draft will be delivered to EG&G by January 3. EG&G will give copies (with written corrections/comments) to the regulatory agencies January 10, approximately.

Meetings between Jacobs and EG&G technical personnel will be held during January 4 to 7. Surface water personnel will tentatively meet on January 5, groundwater and air on January 6, and COPC and soil on January 7.

Art Hirsch distributed and discussed the schedule of activities (attached). Data gathering was done by December 10. Pathways analysis is complete for most media. Monitoring assessment will be done by December 30. Technical write-ups will be completed by January 14 and the preliminary draft will be submitted to EG&G at a February 1 meeting. EG&G will have two days to comment. Those comments will be incorporated into a draft final document to be delivered to EG&G by February 15. The document will undergo public comment. Distribution of the final IM/IRA/DD is slated for August 30, 1994.

Mark Buddy reported that the modification to the scope of work may add some time to portions of the schedule but should not change the ultimate deadlines.

Final Meeting Comments

Ian Paton asked whether the future CSM, in Section 11.0, will include recommendations for monitoring. Art Hirsch replied "yes." The future CSM will be in a cartoon format similar to the current CSM format. Conceptual verification monitoring recommendations will be provided for the IM/IRA project. Section 9.0 will cover current activities and Section 11.0 will discuss the future CSM, with recommendations.

Mark Buddy said that he wanted to get the outline approved before the Christmas shutdown, but has not received DOE comments.

Bill Fraser expressed concern about the need for a separate chapter on D&D. With this format, D&D may appear as an afterthought, rather than the major focus of the IM/IRA. Mr. Fraser suggested including D&D recommendations in the sections specific to each pathway.

The distinction between nonroutine vs. catastrophic events was also questioned. Bill Fraser stated that the IM/IRA proposes to deal with spills, but ignore fires. These events are divided by a fine line. Perhaps earthquakes and other "acts of God" may be ignored, but the IM/IRA should address fires, given past scenarios and public perception.

Dave Norbury questioned whether Section 2.3, Existing Monitoring Activities, will be a duplicate of other sections. Art Hirsch replied that the section is introductory, rather than repetitive.

The separation of footing drain water from groundwater and surface water was questioned. Art Hirsch stated that the footing drain water is treated separately because it is managed differently at RFP.

Dave Norbury pointed out that we may want to identify medium-specific sources within the section for each medium, rather than as a separate section.

Bill Fraser stated that, in developing recommendations, it is important to look at what we have, what we need, and what we don't need. It is best not to waste money on data that are redundant or will not be used.

Action Items

- Meetings will be held between EG&G and Jacobs technical disciplines during the week of February 4 to 7.
- Tim Lovseth will determine the status of the recent monitoring well sampling data and convey that information to Jacobs.
- The next biweekly meeting will be held February 1 at EG&G and may last half a day.

- The locations of recommended wells will be field-checked by site walks.
- Jacobs will contact Ralph Lindberg regarding contaminant plume maps.

IM/IRA PERFORMANCE MEETING AGENDA 20 DECEMBER 1993 2:00-4:00PM EG&G INTERLOCKEN FACILITIES

INTRODUCTIONS/OBJECTIVES

M. BUDDY/A. HIRSCH

TECHNICAL PRESENTATIONS

SURFACE WATER

F. BLAHA

)

INCIDENTAL WATER MANAGEMENT

B. JONES

HYDROGEOLOGY

T. JEHN-DELLAPORT

AIR

W. REESER

CHEMICALS OF CONCERN

J. MIYAGISHIMA

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODELS

K. KERRIGAN

D&D BASELINE/ACTION CRITERIA

F. HOBBS

IM/IRA DOCUMENT OUTLINE

A. HIRSCH

PROJECT SCHEDULE

A. HIRSCH

CLOSING REMARKS

M. BUDDY

IM/IRA Project Objectives

To provide a safety net around the IA to monitor for, protect against and respond to releases of contaminates which may occur now and during D&D.

To assess the current Industrial Area monitoring program in the ability to detect potential releases at or within the boundaries of the industrial area.

To recommend pathway protection procedures and conceptualize a verification monitoring program and for future D&D activities to detect potential releases at or within the boundaries of the Industrial area.

Scope of Work

- 1. To develop an Implementation Plan
- 2. Develop Data Gathering Objectives and acquire technical information
- 3. Create a list of chemicals of concern and identify past and potential source areas.
- 4. Understand and define contaminate pathways; develop a site conceptual models
- 5. Define foundation drain influence on groundwater flow migration
- 6. Review and provide recommendations to the Incidental Water Management Plans
- 7. Receive and assess current on site water treatment capabilities for incidental waters.
- 8. Assess current monitoring programs effectiveness relative to the IA boundaries.
- 9. Conceptualize a monitoring verification program for D&D activities.
- 10. Evaluation of best available monitoring technologies; includes the applicability and feasibility of real time monitoring.
- 11. Provide a programmatic linkage between pathway protection, D&D monitoring, emergency response (actual releases) or source investigations (chronic release).
- 12. Develop an IM/IRA Decision Document

Groundwater Monitoring Assessment


END PRODUCTS

Recommendations for:

- * Location of proposed monitoring wells
- * Screened interval of proposed monitoring wells
- * Analyte list
- * Sampling frequency
- * Use of existing monitoring wells

Maps:

- * Groundwater flow, high water table
- * Groundwater flow, low water table
- * Existing and proposed new monitoring well locations
- * Contaminant plumes, based on recent IA monitoring well sampling results.



Groundwater Monitoring Assessment APPROACH

IDENTIFY SOURCES/CHEMICALS

- * Chemicals from historical releases, including documented under-building contamination
- * Chemical inventories
- * Chemical waste streams and waste storage
- * Recent IA monitoring well sampling results*

EVALUATE FLOWPATHS based on

- * high and low water table conditions
- * cultural features (building foundations and drains)
- * bedrock elevations
- * sandstone paleochannels
- * surface seeps and springs

DETERMINE DATA GAPS

* spatial comparison of potential sources and flow paths to existing monitoring wells

MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS

IM/IRA AIR MONITORING AND METEOROLOGY


Recent Activities


- Continued review of RFP air monitoring and meteorology programs
- Summarized existing programs
- Summarized RFP dispersion model applications to date
- Drafted pathways analysis
- Initiated evaluation of programs and data gap identification
- Began developing recommendations

IM/IRA AIR MONITORING AND METEOROLOGY

Potential Data Gaps Identified

- No volatile organic compound (VOC) monitoring within Industrial Area (IA)
- Existing CDH-operated VOC samplers provide limited coverage of VOC emissions from the IA
- · Baseline VOC concentrations for RFP do not exist





Contaminants of Potential Concern and Chemicals of Interest

Resources

Transport Media Write-ups (earlier sections of report)

OU-Specific CSMs from Phase I RFI/RI Work Plans (9 OUs in IA)
Flow Diagrams
Graphics
Text

CONTAMINANT SOURCE RELEASE **MECHANISM** TRANSPORT MEDIUM **EXPOSURE** ROUTE RECEPTOR


COMPONENTS OF A COMPLETED

EXPOSURE PATHWAY

OPERABLE UNIT NO. 8
PHASE I REVELWORK PLAN


U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Roctor Flats Plant, Golden, Colorago




Components of Conceptual Site Model (CSM)

- 1. Primary Sources general groupings of IHSSs according to source type
- 2. Primary Release Mechanisms source-specific; may be duplicative for some sources
- 3. Transport Media soil air surface water groundwater
- 4. Secondary Sources soil surface water sediment groundwater
- 5. Secondary Release Mechanisms
 contaminant leaching from soil
 runoff
 erosion
 fugitive dust emissions
 volatile emissions
 airborne deposition
 infiltration/percolation
 groundwater seeps
- 6. Secondary Transport Media soil surface water sediment groundwater air



CONCEPTUAL MODEL

OPERABLE UNIT NO. 8
PHASE TREPIENT WORK PLANT
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Rocky Plats Plant, Goldon, Colorado



Preliminary Approach

Development of Conceptual Site Model (CSM)

1. Combine OU-specific CSMs into a general CSM for the Industrial Area.

to make the first country to the first of th

and the control of th

2. Examine three scenarios:

Current or Actual Conditions; Potential Unplanned Events; and Non-Routine Activities (future remediation and D&D).

- 3. Each scenario will include examination of primary and secondary sources, associated release mechanisms, and transport media.
- 4. Examine potential contaminant transport pathways only. Exposure pathways and receptors will not be included in the CSM.
- 5. The current scenario will include consideration of historical releases at the IHSSs such as:

Past spills, leaks, or overflows; Historical waste disposal sites; Past fire locations or decontamination areas; Former storage areas; etc.

6. The unplanned events scenario will evaluate potential releases from accidents under current conditions such as:

Spills; Leaks; or Overflows.

Catastrophic events such as fires, explosions, earthquakes, tornadoes, floods, etc. will not be evaluated as an unplanned event.

- 7. The non-routine activities scenario will evaluate expected releases during remediation (excavation). Unplanned releases to be evaluated under this scenario will be consistent with the current scenario (spills, leaks, or overflows). Other unplanned releases are assumed to be controlled by engineering safety controls.
- 8. Emergency response to unplanned events will be discussed in text.

BASELINE DETERMINATION/ACTION OUTLINE

- Objective: Monitor, detect, and respond to contaminant releases from D&D activities.
 - Monitor to provide verification
 - Detect acute and chronic releases
 - Corrective action when levels exceed baseline
- General Approach:
 - Determine activity-specific contaminants of concern
 - Evaluate risks for COCs
 - Identify potential release pathways
 - Assess real time detection technology (acute)
 - Establish sampling plan (chronic)
 - Placement of stations/operate to establish baseline
 - Establish action levels/linkage to emergency response
 - Perform on-going monitoring

Jacobs IM/IRA Plan

PRELIMINARY DRAFT OUTLINE

December 16, 1993

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Objectives
- 1.2 Scope
- 1.3 Project Background

2.0 SITE HISTORY AND CHARACTERIZATION

- 2.1 Site Description
 - 2.1.1 Location
 - 2.1.2 Description of Industrial Area
 - 2.1.3 History

2.2 Physical Setting

- 2.2.1 Topography
- 2.2.2 Surface Water Hydrology
- 2.2.3 Regional Geology
- 2.2.4 Site Geology
- 2.2.5 Regional Hydrogeology
- 2.2.6 Site Hydrogeology
- 2.2.7 Meteorology
- 2.2.8 Ecology
- 2.2.9 Sensitive Environments
- 2.2.10 Cultural Influences

2.3 Existing Monitoring Activities

- 2.3.1 Objectives for Environmental Monitoring
- 2.3.2 Summary of Current Monitoring Programs
- 2.3.3 Overview of Data Reviewed
- 2.4 Monitoring for Unplanned Events

3.0 POTENTIAL CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN, CHEMICALS OF INTEREST, AND SOURCES

- 3.1 Approach
- 3.2 Description of Data Reviewed

3.3 Findinas

- 4.2° Conceptual Groundwater Flow Model
- 4.3 Existing Monitoring Programs
- 4.4 Summary of Available Data
- 4.5 Pathways Analysis
- 4.6 Evaluation of Monitoring Program and Data Gaps
- 4.7 Monitoring Alternatives Assessment
- 4.8 Recommendations for RFP Groundwater Monitoring Programs

5.0 SURFACE WATER MONITORING

- 5.1 Approach
- 5.2 Existing Monitoring Programs
- 5.3 Summary of Available Data
- 5.4 Pathways Analysis
- 5.5 Evaluation of Monitoring Program and Data Gaps
- 5.6 Monitoring Alternatives Assessment
- 5.7 Recommendations for Surface Water Monitoring Programs

6.0 SOIL MONITORING

- 6.1 Approach
- 6.2 Existing Monitoring Programs
- 6.3 Summary of Available Data
- 6.4 Pathways Analysis
- 6.5 Evaluation of Monitoring Program and Data Gaps
- 6.6 Monitoring Alternatives Assessment
- 6.7 Recommendations for Monitoring Programs

7.0 AIR MONITORING

- 7.1 Approach
- 7.2 Existing Monitoring Programs*
- 7.3 Summany of Available Data and Dispersion Models
- .7.4 Pathways Analysis
- 7.5 Evaluation of Monitoring Program and Data Gaps
- 7.6 Monitoring Alternatives Assessment
- 7.7 Recommendations for Monitoring Programs

8.0 INCIDENTAL AND FOOTING DRAIN WATERS

- 8.1 Approach
- 8.2 Foundations, Footing Drains, Sumps, and Valve Vaults and Similar Sources of Intercepted Groundwater
- 8.3 Existing Management, Monitoring and Disposition Program
- 8.4 Summary of Available Data
- 8.5 Contaminants, Sources, and Pathways
- 8.6 Current Water Process Capabilities and Capacities
- 8.7 Data Gaps, Disposition, and Process Needs
- 8.8 Recommendations for Disposition and Monitoring

9.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

- 9.1 Contaminants of Potential Concern and Sources
- 9.2 Pathways
- 9.3 Relationship to Monitoring Programs
- 9.4 Data Gaps
- 9.5 Recommendations

10.0 NON-ROUTINE ACTIVITIES MONITORING RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1 Description of Non-routine Activities
- 10.2 Conceptual Monitoring Approach
- 10.3 Pathway Protection
- 10.4 Alternative Analysis

10.5 Verification Monitoring Recommendations

.10.6 Action Levels

10.6.1 Emergency Hesponse 10.6.2 Source Investigation

- 11.0 FUTURE CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL
- 12.0. SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
- 13.0 REFERENCES

			-				T												
ACTIVITY	ACTIVITÀ		• '	ORIG	EARLY	. EARLY		1	993		Γ				1994				
10	DESCRIPT	DN .		DUR	START		5EP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	S
	-	<u> </u>		•	4	•	01-	HPLEKENT	ATTON P	AN									
1	-3.000		40	. 10	40C193	150C193	1							l ·	1	1	Į.		
01000-10	Task 01-Devis	porate Comments/finali		_ ,19	1800193		1	<u> </u>	1 İ					,	i	1	l		
01000-50-	Tesk 01-Inco	Docate Chamentale Inglit	<u> </u>	* 13-	100C (53	340433	02.6	EVIEW EX	ISTING	DATA				·	·	l	·		
		<u> </u>	 -			<u>'</u>	- " i	•	1311110					1		ł	İ		
02000-10		op Instial 060s		· <u>`</u> 3	50C 193 *		-	•						1.					i I
05000-50	Tesk 02-Acqua	e leconical Informatio	<u> </u>		<u>— 60C i 3 ∫</u>		-[]							ľ	}	1			1
02000-30	1984 05-45 00 T	e Site Char Into EGEG	<u> </u>		80C 193	53MOA83	-		_ المساحة		!				1	Į		! •	li
02000-40	Task 02:455	s final DGO's		<u> 2</u> -	24NOV93	58KOV93	.[]		=		[1	{	ĺ	• 1	1 (
02000-50		Review Final Technica	1:Info	9	30N0V93	100EC93								l	J	l		1	_
, .		•	•		•		03-0	ESCRIPT	ON OF C	ANTANTHE	NIS & SO	UNCES			1	i			1 1
03000-10	Takk 03-Reve	Chemical Source Into		. 33	60C 193 ⁴	2340493								l]	1			1 1
03000-20		al Dala Mgmt System De		3 15	24NOV93		7							•	ł	1			1
1		otualize Chemical Selec		 7	24N0Y93	60EC93	1		Г.	ה				1		ŀ	ŀ		ŀ
03000-34						70EC93	-			i '	1			l	į.	l		ŀ	l
03000-46		eedback on COC Approac		- <u></u>	70EC93		-{			l'o	!			ł	1	ł	l		
03000-50		ize COC Approach		1							l				 	 	 -	ļ 	
03000-60	Task 03-COC	utabase finalized			170EC93	170EC93	-			-				 	 	ļ	 -	 	
	i i	<u> </u>	•			·	_ 04-	A LOSH O	SPECIFI	L PATHWA	15		İ	1	1	ì	i	1	
0 000 10		eithma & Neview/Assessme		<u>• 50 </u>	80C193	50DE C 93	_	L			1	1	ļ	1	1	l		ļ	li
0 000 - 20	Task .04-Sug	ce waser Pathway Ruyses	/Assessment	50	BOC 193 a	20DE C93	_ [}		1	1		İ	ΙI
0 4000 - 30	task 04 Group	Amater Pathway Review/A	ssessment		\$00£(33.	• 510EC93	_ '				}	1		į	1		İ	1	ll
04000-40		Hater Pathway Reysem/				150EC93	7) .				ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	}	ļ	J	ļ] }
04000-50	TASK DA-CSKT	pproach Development:	•	. 2	160EC93	170EC93	7	1		0		 	i	í	1	ļ			ΙI
02000-60	Tree DA-EGEC	Review of CSM Approach			200FC97	20DFC93	-			i									"
	1051 01 1064	ise Shhooch		· 4:		230£C93	7			0		ŀ		ł			!	1	
0,000-10	1836 04 7 109	Fire approach			280EC93#		-	l	, i	•	<u></u>			1	1	i		İ	
04000-BQ	Mask 04-111	12e Pathway/CSM		·!}_	ZOUCLSIN	1434734	05-1	VALUATE	EDOT ING	E FOUND	ATION OR	1	l	 	·	ļ	 	 -	
		h		•			- ""	1	10011110	1	1	Ĩ	İ	1.	1	1	İ	1	
05000 10	(pst. 05-Acq	re Gw Elevation Data			BOC 193		- [1	ļ		ļ	1	1			l	1 1
05000 20		E/Acquire Orain Locatio					[Í	İ	([·	ſ	1	{	ĺ	1 .	[[
05000-30		Oral Map #/Local yons/6					-			<u>.</u>	1	1		1	l .	1	l	ŀ	i I
05000-40	Task 05-YEA	icat on of Orain Locat	ions	<u> 4 .</u>	30HOAB3 ₊ ,	305.083	.	l			Ì	1	1	.	1				l i
03000-50	task Off in	ive Foot ing/Foundation	Orain Mag	- 5	600093	100€ € 93	_		.						.			İ	
05000 60		top GM Potentionetric He					_				1	i	ł		l	1	1		li
05000-70	Task 05-Gree	idwater Higration Flow	L ap •	5	1306093	120€C93		}]			1	1	1	<u> </u>	1	l		
1	3	,1 <u>*:</u> 1*:	4	-	¢		06-	SSESS C	INSTITUTE	ONT 10:11	G NETHOR	ik	1	1][
08000-18	Task no ne	ussions w/EGGG Focal Po	ints •	y 48	400193	100EC93	-1		<u></u>		1	ł	ł		1	1	ł	1	1 1
2 :000-20		Annitoring Assessment			2106 C93	30DEC93	7		1		י י	1	1		1	l	1 .	1.	1
·	1934 00 417	Mie Water Monitoring As			\$10£C93	300EC93	-1	1] =	-,	1			1				1
06000-30 08000-40	TESK NO-ZUCH	we mater monitoring As	Seapent	<u>r 7</u>		30DEC93	\dashv	1			-,		1	1	1	1			
	Task Ob-Gray	admater Monitoring Asse	Sament .					l .			า	1	1	1			i		
06000-50	That Ob-Inu	d Wafer Monstoring Ass	essment	<u>- B</u>	\$60EC33	300EC93	107	ASSESS X	1160 016	ans I I Io		ļ	·	 	-	 			
- 			. <u> </u>	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	-٬۰۰۱	335.33 #	7154 013	, 0411106 1	, r.c. Art	l	1	1	1		1	1	1
07000-10		<u>re/Review Incid. Water</u>				5840483		J	1	'n	1	}	1	1	1	1	1	Į.	1 1
01000-50		d Visit Irt Systems/Ara						1	1 .	0	1	ļ	[1	1	1	1	
₫3000 - <u>30</u>	1esk. 07-Su	uce Water Mgat Plan Cop	rdinat ion	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	€0E C 3€	14DEC93		l	1				1	1	1	1			
07000-40	Task 07-Re-	ew Administrative Guide	lines	?.	60EC99	140€ 093	_	ł	1				1	1				ļ	
PAGE DATE	60((9))	Minite Brillers. A.	1=0.		;		 .		 			Sheet	1 61)	· · · · · · ·	J		· 	<u></u>	٠
Phật Date Die Gald Fibject Start Pibject Sinish	100193	Account barkers to			. E %	G. & G. RO		_	PLANT,	CO			Į	Date.	Fle y	15100	<u>tn</u>	eched Appr	ovea
Biect Ginisn	BIAUG94	Off anisates fing sector	" '.	•	Ĭ. 4		M/IA						[===		
Printvera S	<u> </u>		, 1	-	4	, BASELINE	-NEV	ISED-0	RAFI								==	===	=:
Primavera S	STEMS, INC.	L 7	·		·								[

. 560

			•				1												
ACTIVITY	ACTIVE	*	•	DRIG EA	NLY.	EARLY	—	1	993						1994			;-	
10	DESCRIP					FINISH	5EP		NOV I	DEC	JAN	rea	HAR -	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	Ts
	CC SCHIII.	;	70				4	SSESS W				160	HAIT	1 11	- FAI	-0014	JUL	AUU	╁
•	<u></u>				ه		10,	133633 11/	יובוע מוזיי		I'L AN			l·	1	1		•	1
0.000-50	Task O7: As	eisment of Disposal Mea	gent Systems	3150	DEC 946	150EC 93	I		1	0 {					1	1		•	
07000-60		eisment of Disposition P		8 5 <u>00</u>					}		1			ŀ	1				
	3						08-	SSESS CL	PRENT WA	TER PRO	ESS INC	THENT							1
	*	·			- 4 ₆ 2 - 16 - 17 -		i	l	<u> </u>	- → 1				1	1	1		١.	1
0B900 · 10		r Irealment Systems Rev)c i 33 · · ·	500E C 3 7 7 7	1							l					
Dyodo - 20	sk 08 Curt	Lent Water Hgmt Practice	🕈 Incid Wirs 🍾	35 8 0)C [93,	59NOV93	1									i i			
		nie Irealment System as		-	iovaľ	14(A, C93		i	C				-	ł	1			Į	
08000*40		Preatment Technologies		• • • • • •	EC9\	30DEC93	ł		1		'				1	ļ			1
	1031 20 115	A COLUMNIA TEETHIOTOGICS					09-	BJECTIVE	S OF IND	MISTRIAL	AREA IN	/IBA PL	N	1	i				-}-
•			"				-	1	Ī						1		1	٠.	
09000-10		Constoring	•		TKHÃ&	10JAH94	ŀ	1							1	Į.	ŀ		
09000-20	Task 09 Sud	Cace hater Honitornia	,	6 3.	PPHAL	10JAN94					ذ.ا			1	1	i	ļ	1	
		mdwater Monitoring .	• •	° 6 3.	4PMAL	10JAN94									l				+
					JAN94	10.1/1194	1	ł	1		\Box			1		ł	ł	١٠.	
		bsition Water Mgmt	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1	ļ	ŀ				ĺ	Į.	ļ		ļ	j	
<u> </u>		Ment Systems	<u></u>		iynaq	10JAN94	· · · ·				≓			·	ļ	ļ			
09000-60		<u> Wenta) Water Monitoring</u>	,	63.	17 H 3 4.	10JAN94	4		i		브			ı	1	ļ	Į		
<u> 09000-70</u>	Task 09-668	interaction	<u> </u>	63_	TYNUT	10JAN94	1_				<u> </u>			 	.l	l	!	<u> </u>	-↓
	"		•				10-	(D FUNDI	IG REQUIR	EMENTS	S HECHAN	ISHS		1	1	1	1	ļ	
	Task 10-EG	t wasting	<u>,</u>		PUNAL	11JAN94	1		1						ļ	1			1
10000 10			<u></u>				1		1		n		ŀ	1	1	1		١.	1
10000-20		Request for Estimates	, ,,		าัชหลั ฐ ─	14JAN94	-{	Į.			`ا	İ	ľ	1			1	-	
10000-30		mate Bevelopment •		111/-	PENAL	31JAN94	-{							1					
10000-40	Estimates 🕹	F EGEG	••	<u> </u>		31JAH94		l				<u>}</u>	l	·I.——	ļ				اد
4-3			č				11-	ORAFT IH.	IRA PLAN	1	l			1					
11000-10	Task 11-16-	nical write Ups		23 130	ŒÇ9∯	14JAN94	1	1						1	l			į .	
	7-01 11 127	Production (draft) Mech	54.1		JAN94:	21JAN94	1	1	l i			ł	ļ	1	1	İ		Ι.	.
					างหลัง	•	1	Į.	1				Ì	ļ	ļ	1		l	İ
41000-20		Review/Incorp Chambes/	Mepro			31JAN94	-	l										1	1
14000-40	Task 11-E()	6 Presentation	·	<u>1 1</u> f	1 894	1FEB94		1	l i			ļ.	i	1	1	· ·		ł	
11000-50	Task 11-EGE	Review/Comment Due		2 2f	[894	<u> 36 E B 94</u>	.					<u> </u>	l	.		l			
14000-50	Task 11-Ind	orp Connents/Finalize Da	ėn 🥇	3 4f	E894.	BF E 894		1						1			j	١.	1
1000-20		Sinalize/OC .			reep4	14FE894	1	j			ŀ		1	1	ŀ	1	ł	ļ.	-
Y.505 AS	-1000		· ·				112-	SUBHIT D	RAFT IM/	IRA PLAN			ļ —————	1	1	1			-1
***************************************							1	1			1	,	İ	1	<u> </u>	ļ	l		-
15000-00	Jask 12 Sug	Lit Draft IM/IAA		1 <u>15</u>	FEB9#	.15f EB94	-1-	NCORPOR	15 5000		ļ	} :	ļ			· -	l	l	-
	<u></u>				es		_{13-	INCORPOR	ATE CUMMI	ENIS IUN I	IAF 13 1.		<u> </u>	i i		1	1	1 .	1
(3000-90	Task 13-EC	G/DOE/TOH/EPA Review	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>rcigga</u>	18MAR94	_			l	ľ	==		1	1		ļ		1
43000-50	Task 13-Rd	TIVE EGGG/DOE/EPA/COH CO	ments	1 21	MRRSE.	21HAR94	_	1		l	Į.	1	1	1] .		ł	
13000-50		ie# Comments	A 9	3 22	HARSK	24HAR94	1	i i			1		1 1	İ		1	1	1	1
		Tax. ·	·		MAR94	25MAR94	7	1		Į.		i	1	ł	1	1		1	- [
9 3000 - 40	Task 13: Me		, ia				-				1	1	1 '	┷		1	1		-
13000-50	Jest (3:18)	rpor A e Changes/E thalia	g Urail	! <u>58</u>	HARIS .	5APR94	-				i			〒	·	-			-
	<u> </u>		<u></u>				- 14:	ŞUBHIT F	INAL IM/	IHA PLAN İ	! }	İ	1	1.				[ļ
14000-00	Task 14-Su	est FRial IM/IRA for Pub	lic Connent	16	APRISA	6APR94	_	l					<u> </u>	. !					_
	1		,				15-	PUBLIC C	ONNENT/P	RESENTAT	ION			i		1	1	İ	
15000-00	itach 15-Put	Pic Comment Period		41 7	APUL	6.JUN94	-1	I	1	l	1	Į.			AZAT.		1		1
12000 bo					******* -	9481141	16.	OE VEL OP	DRAFT RE	SPONS LVE	NESS SUM	HARY		-	1				-
***************************************	3 4				<u></u>		-1."	1		1		1		1	1			1	
16000-00	Jask 16 Dr	Nr. Responsiveness Sammar	Y	107	ત્રીતોરફે ⊤	20JUN94	-	1		l	1	1]	1	l	===		1	
•	i	••	•		. ,*•		1.	1	l	ŀ			1		1		1		- 1
Plat Dala 6/	NECO1	******* * '') Activity berliety D	I I I I I		<u> </u>					<u> </u>		5.111	201 1			—		ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	
Plot Dale 60 Ofta Dale 10 Project:Start 205 Project Finish 31	ocisi d	Grindl Rivery Delivity Delivity Delivity Delivity Delivity But Delivity Rivery Delivity River	• •		E G	& G. ROC	KY I	FLATS 1	LANT.	CO				Ω01 E.	Ar.	12100		100 100	0.0
Poject Finish 31	nesi 📑	Dir Hillstone Flog att.	٠ ا بو		. *	IH	/IR	A/00											
	. ا	}•			•	BASELINE			RAFT									=	=
del Primavera Syst	Lees, Inc.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		•												王	<u> </u>	=
•	3	Ţ.			Ġ.													•	
	9 5				· 💝													-	
	· .		· .		•														
•••	À .	. *	6.	•														•	
																		٠	•
	1				•														

9

		Š		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•										 					
CTIVITY	Y ACTIVI		.5	<u>.</u> بر	VORIG	EARLY	EARLY			993					···-	1994				
ic ID	DESCRIP	ION			DUR	STARE	FINISH	5EP		NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MARI	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	5
	i i	3	• • • •	,	•			17-9	SUBHII DE	AFT RES	PUNSIVEN	SS SUHH	AFRY						•	Τ
7000-00	Tesk 17, Sul	at Draft Res	Douz IAGUEZZ,	Summary	1	21.44.94	21JUN94													ļ
	•	7. 1	<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>			18-0	COMMENT F	ESOLUTI	ON MEETI	NG I							:	
B000-00		nt Resoluti	on Heet no	•	<u> </u>	SS/IN9&	·5JUL94	1	NEORPOR					ļ:	 	l				+
	<u> </u>	3		•	·			-{ ,a.	L	LUMM	 	INAL DO	ļ	ŀ					•	
90 00-00	Yask 19-lng	proprate Come	ents into f	Tal IM/IRA	<u>• 10</u>	·6.r.£.94•	1 <u>9.JL.94</u>	20-	SUBNIT F	NAL IM/	19A/00									- -
20000-00	Task 20-Sul	ALL FITE IN/IR	A/00 W/HS 1	• DOE/EGEG	, 5	50707.24	26.JJ. 94	1_	<u> </u>		L					ļ	<u> </u>			<u>. </u> _
4	1995 40 30	,,		3				21-	SUBHIT F	HAL 1H/	16A/00 1	D REGULA	DRY AG	ENCIES		ĺ	Ì		·	
21000-00	Task 2 -Su	ht Final IM/	184/00 to A	gencies	. 15	27JLL 94 •	11AUG94		ļ		ļ	l	ļ	 	ļ]	 			- -
		Ÿ		<u></u>		 ,-	 	- 25-	PREPARE I	FINAL IH I	/184/00 	FOR DISI) 11801.10	N I			ł			
2000-60	Tosk 22 Pr	ere Final I	I/IRA/DO DIS	tribution .	_:1	1240694	26AUG94		OISTALBU	E FINAL	IM/194/		<u> </u>		<u> </u> .—-	l	\			- -
14	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		7	-# 		30AUG94	- ' '	1			ĺ		1 .						i
53000-00	1928 57-01	ir <u>ipute final</u>	187 [887 UU	3		\$69ne6₹	JUNUUJA	24-	PROJECT	HANAGE HE	NI		l				1			7
00500-00	Motite to	oceed		<u> </u>		100193	100193	_[ļ	1			1		į			٠.	1
4000-00	 ,	weet Manageme	nt	<i>;</i>	531	100193	31AUG94	_]		1	ضحين	1					1		and the second	
4000-02	Elzeht Kzc'			<u>.</u>		700193	700193	_	11 .		ļ			ł				ł		1
24000-04	B1-Meek ly			&	_;	250C193.	250C193		'	١.	ł		1							
24000 06	BI-Weekly		t	<u> </u>		940483	*9NOV93				·	···			ļ					-
31000-08	B)-Meek ly			·		60EC83 5340A83	806C83 53M0A83	-		'	1.			İ	1			į	•	İ
24000-10	Bi-Meekly			\$	95	200£C93	200EC93	-	1		1	1				1	1	1		1
24000-14	. Bi - Keek JA					4JAN94	4JAN94	_	1			1	1			1		Ī		
24000-16	di veekly			•	• • 1	17JAN94	17JAN94		.	ļ	ļ	<u>L</u> _	<u> </u>	ļ		.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_ .
4000-18	Bi-Heakly	eting #8		<u>;</u>	1	<u>1FEB9</u>	1FEB94	4		ļ	1	1	! .			1	1	l .		1
1000-50		Setina 19		<u>,</u>	-31	15FE894.	15FEB94	-	1	1	İ	1	'	1	1		1			1
4000-22	Bi-Heekly	et ing /10		<u>.</u>		184894 154409	1HAR94 15HAR94	-	1	1	}	1		i i					·	-
24000- 2 4		feeting. <u>f11</u> Güs Heeting.		· · · · · · · ·	\$!	53/10/837	2300793	-	ŀ	1	1				İ		1			
24000-28		hus Heeting		A		230£C93	230663	_			1	1					1	1		-[
94000-30		Aus Highing			• • •	24JAN94	24JAN94		i		1		1					1		
24000-32	Monthly St	us Meet ing	!!	·,	1	2156834	2158894				1	ĺ		1.		1		\		-
24000-34		Jus Heet ing.		<u>'</u>	1	400004								'	1 .		1	1	}	-
24000-36		vs Megting		- ,	!	18APR94 16HAY94	184PA94 16HAY94	- -	 		··				:	·				-
24000 38 24000 30		Nus Heeting. Nus Heeting		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,- <u>,</u> 1	15JUN94	15.UN94				1			1		1				
54000 4 5		us Metting		٠		15Jta 94	45JUL 94		1							1		1	İ	-
4000,44	Honthly St	lus Heeting	10			1240694	12AUG94							1		1		1	1	J
98000-00	Project.C	Meout Meetin		<u>,</u>		31AUGSA	31AUG94	-			-	-	.	·	.}	· 	-	- 	<u> </u>	_:
99000-00	Froject Co	mlese		-		1SEPS	31AUG94	-							1	.[1		1
- 79	4	2	• •	٤.		."				1	1	1	,		1			1		-
*	, A	4		π γ.		•	••		1					1		1		1		
Mar dete	605 (93)	d:		J=04	<u>".</u>	<u></u>			_i	1	-l		Steri	1 0/ 1	1	Т		 	٠	
Mot date Data Date Project, Start Project Finis	10C193 28SEP93		inite bur/fight (tital active) propositor inito/e/fig activ	•""	٠.	E _s .	G. & G. RO			PLANT.	CO				Date	Re	15100 .	tn	LA CO AD	פרפו
Project Finis	n 31AUG94 .	D NO / C NO	estane/flag Activ	?.	•	_	. BASELINE		M/00	DAE I								===	==	=
<u>ic) Primavera</u>	Systems, Inc	<u> </u>		٠		·	חאטבר ואנ	- mc	4 1 2CD_[JITAE 1								===	===	=
14	1	Že .		0	•															
•				·	•	. 0														
.		3		•																
	.	<i>r</i> .	. *		٠.	. 9														